Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/678,536	HANAOKA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert A. Zeman	1645	

All Participants:

(1) *Robert A. Zeman*.

(2) *Gregory Zayia*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *29 June 2006*

Time: *9:00 am*

Type of Interview:

☐ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
35 USC 112, first and second paragraph rejections

Claims discussed:
all pending

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
discussed possible claim amendments to obviate the pending rejections

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)